



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/368,378 01/14/95 BOVA

15M1/0610

REESE TAYLOR
RENNER KENNER GRIEVE BOBAK TAYLOR
AND WEBER
FIRST NATIONAL BANK SIXTEENTH FLOOR
AKRON OH 44308-1456

D 94247
EXAMINER

VENKAT, J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

13

1502

DATE MAILED:

06/10/96

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

☐ This application has been examined ☒ Responsive to communication filed on 5/23/96 ☐ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 2 month(s), — days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. | 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. |
| 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> |

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. ☒ Claims 1-9, 13 are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims — are withdrawn from consideration.
2. ☐ Claims — have been cancelled.
3. ☒ Claims 1-9, 13 are allowed.
4. ☐ Claims — are rejected.
5. ☐ Claims — are objected to.
6. ☐ Claims — are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. ☐ This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
8. ☐ Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on —. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).
10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on —, has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner; ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed —, has been ☐ approved; ☐ disapproved (see explanation).
12. ☐ Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☐ been received ☐ not been received
☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. —; filed on —.
13. ☒ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Correction is requested on the specification at page 3, line 7 from "HDL Particles" to "HDL CHOLESTEROL".
14. ☒ Other

The finality of the office action dated 11/27/95 is withdrawn.
Applicant has overcome the (a) rejection over O'Neil Patent '81.
It appears to the examiner that applicant and the patent
are claiming the same subject matter. In such circumstances
they are debatable

JYOTHSNA VENKAT
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1500